IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
Plaintiff,)	
vs.)	Case No. CIV-18-228-HE
APPROXIMATELY \$1,085,385.00 IN UNITED STATES CURRENCY, SEIZED FROM ACCOUNT NUMBER XXXXX1122 LOCATED))	
AT JP MORGAN CHASE BANK,)	
Defendant.)	

VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR FORFEITURE IN REM

The United States of America brings this complaint and alleges as follows in accordance with Rule G(2) of the Supplemental Rules for Admiralty or Maritime Claims and Asset Forfeiture Actions:

Nature of the Action

1. This is an *in rem* forfeiture action to forfeit and condemn to the use and benefit of the United States of America the above-captioned defendant for violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1343.

Jurisdiction and Venue

2. The United States brings this action *in rem* in its own right to forfeit and condemn the defendant currency, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C). This Court has jurisdiction over an action commenced by the United States under 28 U.S.C. § 1345, and the Court has jurisdiction over an action for forfeiture under 28 U.S.C. § 1355(a).

- 3. This Court has *in rem* jurisdiction over the defendant property under 28 U.S.C. § 1355(b). Upon the filing of this complaint, the United States requests that the Court issue an arrest warrant *in rem* pursuant to Supplemental Rule G(3)(b), which the United States will execute upon the property pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1355 and Supplemental Rule G(3)(c).
- 4. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1355(b)(1), because the acts or omissions giving rise to the forfeiture occurred in this district. Venue is also proper here pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1395, because the property is located in this district.

The Defendant *In Rem*

5. The defendant property consists of \$1,085,385.00 held in account XXXXX1122 at J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. The defendant property was seized by the Federal Bureau of Investigation pursuant to a seizure warrant issued on January 16, 2018, and is currently in the possession of the Federal Bureau of Investigation or the United States Marshals Service.

Basis for Forfeiture

6. The defendant property is subject to forfeiture to the United States pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) because it constitutes property, real or personal, which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to unlawful activity, and/or is property, real or personal, which represents or is traceable to the gross receipts obtained, directly or indirectly, from a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343 (relating to wire fraud).

Facts

- 7. The facts and circumstances supporting the seizure and forfeiture of the defendant property are contained in the supporting affidavit attached hereto and fully incorporated herein.
- 8. This complaint does not contain all of the information known in regards to the investigation; however, it contains enough information to establish probable cause to support a complaint for forfeiture against the defendant property.

Claim for Relief

9. As a result of the foregoing, the defendant property is liable to condemnation and forfeiture to the United States for its use, in accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C).

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff requests that the Court issue an arrest warrant *in rem* for the arrest and seizure of the defendant property; that notice of this action be given to all persons who reasonably appear to be potential claimants of interest of the defendant property; that the defendant property be forfeited and condemned to the United States; that the United States be awarded its costs and disbursements in this action; and for such other and further relief as this Court deems proper and just.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT J. TROESTER, Acting United States Attorney

s/ Wilson D. McGarry

WILSON D. McGARRY Assistant U.S. Attorney Bar No. 31146 210 Park Avenue, Suite 400 Oklahoma City, OK 73102

Phone: (405) 553-8700

Email: wilson.mcgarry@usdoj.gov

VERIFICATION

I, Scott M. Cahoon, hereby verify and declare that I am a Special Agent with the Federal Bureau of Investigation, that I have read the foregoing Verified Complaint of Forfeiture In Rem and know the contents thereof, and that the matters contained in the Verified Complaint are true of my own knowledge, except those matters therein stated to be alleged on information and belief and as to those matters, I believe them to be true. The sources of my knowledge and information and the grounds of my belief are the official files and records of the United States, information supplied to me by other law enforcement officers, as well as my investigation of this case, together with others.

I hereby verify and declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Executed on March 14, 2018.

Scott M. Cahoon, Special Agent U.S. Federal Bureau of Investigation

Subscribed and sworn to before me this _____ day of March, 2018.

Mary Public, State of Oklahoma

(seal)

SHERRY DIANA WESTMORELAND Notary Public - State of Oklahoma Commission Number 16004411 My Commission Expires May 2, 2020

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT

I, Scott M. Cahoon, a Special Agent (SA) with the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, being duly sworn, depose and state as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. I have been employed as a Special Agent of the FBI for approximately three years, and have been assigned to the computer intrusion squad of the Oklahoma City Division since February 2017. During employment with the FBI, I have been assigned to investigate violations of federal law that involve but are not limited to computer intrusion and internet fraud matters. I have gained experience in computer intrusion and internet fraud investigations through training in seminars, classes, and everyday work. I have received training and gained experience in interviewing and interrogation techniques, arrest procedures, search warrant applications, the execution of searches and seizures, in addition to various other criminal laws and procedures. I have personally participated in the execution of search warrants involving various types of evidence and property.
- 2. As a federal agent, I am authorized to investigate violations of the laws of the United States and to seek forfeiture of property under the authority of the United States.
- 3. This affidavit does not contain all of the information known to me in regards to the investigation; however, it contains enough information to establish probable cause to forfeit the property described below to the United States.

STATUTES

- 4. Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343 provides that whoever, having devised any scheme or artifice to obtain money or property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises, and for the purpose of executing that scheme, knowingly transmits or causes to be sent transmitted by interstate or international wire, radio, or television any writings, signs, signals, pictures, or sounds for the purpose of executing the scheme or artifice, shall be fined or imprisoned not more than 20 years or both.
- 5. Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) provides that any property, real or personal, which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to a violation of, among others, Section 1343, is subject to forfeiture to the United States.

PROPERTY AND AUTHORITY FOR FORFEITURE

6. That this affidavit is made in support of an application for a civil forfeiture complaint to forfeit the proceeds contained in J.P. Morgan Chase account number XXXXX1122. This affidavit is made in support of forfeiture under 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) for violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1343 as a result of a scheme to defraud **Insured Aircraft Title Service, LLC (IATS)**, a company located in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, through an email fraud scam.

BASIS FOR FORFEITURE

7. The contents of J.P. Morgan Chase account number XXXXXX1122 is subject to forfeiture to the United States because it contains proceeds of, or derived from proceeds traceable to, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343, Fraud by Wire, when, based on false representation of an unknown subject (**UNSUB**) in control of the account, received a transfer of \$1,085,385.00 from **IATS's** FDIC-insured Bank of America debit account number XXXXXXXX85210 on December 27, 2017, in the Western District of Oklahoma. On December 27, 2017, J.P. Morgan Chase placed

a fraud hold on bank account number XXXXX1122, containing the deposit of \$1,085,385.00. As a result, any funds remaining in **UNSUB's** J.P. Morgan Chase account up to \$1,085,385.00 are therefore subject to civil forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVESTIGATION AND FACTS GIVING RISE TO PROBABLE CAUSE

- 8. Insured Aircraft Title Services, LLC ("IATS") is an Oklahoma City-based aircraft title and escrow company. In December 2017, IATS began assisting a client with purchasing certain products from Bell Helicopter ("BH"). Hillsboro Aviation ("HA", a Portland, Oregon-based company, served as the broker for the transaction.
- 9. During the negotiations for this transaction, **IATS** employee M. Newberry was communicating telephonically with K. Nguyen, the regional contracts manager for **BH**.
- 10. In December 2017, a person or persons unknown to law enforcement at this time and described in this affidavit as "UNSUB," learned that IATS was negotiating the purchase of certain products from BH. UNSUB devised a scheme to defraud IATS by posing as K. Nguyen and instructing IATS employees to wire funds to a bank account controlled by UNSUB.
- 11. On December 21, 2017, **Megan Newberry** (**NEWBERRY**), an employee at **IATS**, received an email in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, on her company email account, <u>mnewberry@insuredaircraft.com</u>, from **UNSUB**, purporting to be **Kim Nguyen** (**NGUYEN**), the Regional Contracts Manager for **BH**, using email account <u>pnguyen02bh@yahoo.com</u>. The December 21st email received by **NEWBERRY** was in regard to a contract between **IATS** and **BH** for the purchase of products. **NEWBERRY** and **NGUYEN** had already been in communication regarding purchase contract when **NEWBERRY** received the email from **UNSUB**.

In the email, **UNSUB** stated "All, Update: The attached invoice for closing has been updated. I have already called Ryan Swakon at Hillsboro as regards the update." **UNSUB** attached an invoice, which provided an itemized statement of products to be sold and purchasing prices, which totaled to \$1,085,385.00. In addition, the invoice directed **IATS** to wire transfer payment directly to:

J.P. Morgan Chase SWIFT No. CHASUS33 ABA NO. 06-54-011-37 28 Liberty Street New York, NY 10005 For the Account of Bell Helicopter Slip Stream TEXTRON, Inc Account No. 208-3-21122

- 12. On December 27, 2017, **NEWBERRY**, using email account mmewberry@insuredaircraft.com, received another email from pnguyen02bh@yahoo.com stating, "I would like to know if the wire transfer payment has been made."
- 13. On December 27, 2017, **NEWBERRY** sent a reply email to pnguyen02bh@yahoo.com stating, "No we have not closed yet."
- 14. On December 27, 2017, **NEWBERRY** received another email from pnguyen02bh@yahoo.com asking, "The closing was suppose to be today right?"
- 15. On December 27, 2017, **NEWBERRY** sent a reply email to pnguyen02bh@yahoo.com stating, "We are releasing funds now I will send out wire confirmation to the group as requested."
- 16. On December 27, 2017, **IATS**, under false pretenses and the misrepresentations of the **UNSUB**, wire transferred \$1,085,385.00 from Bank of America debit account number XXXXXXXXX5210, branch located in the Western

District of Oklahoma, to J.P. Morgan Chase bank account number XXXXX1122, branch located in New York, New York.

- 17. On December 27, 2017, **NEWBERRY** received another email from pnguyen02bh@yahoo.com stating, "I will get back to you at noon tomorrow as regards coordinating with US Aircraft Title for the release of the Bill of sale to IATS for filing with the FAA."
- 18. **Chris Fiegel (FIEGEL)**, Secretary/Treasurer for **IATS**, stated that on December 27, 2017, through telephone conversations with **NGUYEN**, it was discovered that **BH** had not provided the updated invoice instructions and had not provided the updated wire transfer instructions as set forth in the December 21st email sent from pnguyen02bh@yahoo.com. In addition, **FIEGEL** advised that he had been informed that **HA**, the broker for **IATS**, had suffered a computer intrusion.
- 19. On December 27, 2017, J.P. Morgan law enforcement assistance confirmed that a wire was made from Bank of America debit account number XXXXXXX5210 to J.P. Morgan Chase bank account number XXXXXX1122, in the amount of \$1, 085,385.00.
- 20. On December 28, 2017, **NEWBERRY** received another email from pnguyen02bh@yahoo.com stating, "ALL We are in receipt of the wire transfers so you can go ahead and coordinate with US Aircraft Title for the release of the Bill of sale to IATS for filing with the FAA."
- 21. On January 3, 2018, Ryan McCartney, General Manager for **HA**, confirmed that **HA** had suffered a computer intrusion on December 23, 2017, resulting in their email being compromised and used to communicate with clients. While this intrusion could be the impetus of the fraud in this case, at this point in the investigation law enforcement has not confirmed whether the computer intrusion at **HA** directly resulted in the fraudulent activity committed by the **UNSUB** to defraud **IATS**.

intrusion at **HA** directly resulted in the fraudulent activity committed by the **UNSUB** to defraud **IATS**.

CONCLUSION

20. Based on the above-mentioned facts, I submit there is probable cause UNSUB violated 18 U.S.C. § 1343 when UNSUB falsely represented to be NGUYEN convincing IATS to wire funds from its FDIC-insured bank in the Western District of Oklahoma to J.P. Morgan Chase bank account number XXXXXX1122, branch located in New York, New York, on December 27, 2017. Consequently, the defendant property is subject to forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C).

FURTHER, YOUR AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

SCOTT M. CAHOON

Special Agent

Federal Bureau of Investigation

Subscribed and sworn to before me in my presence on this 4 day of March, 2018.

Notary Public, State of Oklahoma,

(seal)

SHERRY DIANA WESTMORELAND Notary Public - State of Oklahoma Commission Number 16004411 My Commission Expires May 2, 2020

Case 5:18-cv-00228-HEI Document 1-31-Eiled 03/15/18 Page 1 of 1

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS FC	PRM.)		1							
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				PEFENDANTS \$1,085,385.00 IN U.S. CURRENCY, SEIZED FROM ACCOUNT									
UNITED STATES				XXXXX1122, HELD AT JP MORGAN CHASE BANK									
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.									
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Wilson D. McGarry, Assis Park, Suite 400, Oklahon	stant U.S. Attorney, U.	S. Attorney's Office	e, 210	Attorneys (If Known	n)								
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF		CIPA	L PARTIES		-	-			
☑ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff ☐ V.S. G	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)				PTF D	EF J 1	Incorporated <i>or</i> Pri of Business In T		or Defenda PTF □ 4	DEF			
☐ 2 U.S. Government ☐ 4 Diversity Defendant			Citiz	itizen of Another State					□ 5	□ 5			
			Citizen or Subject of a						□ 6				
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ely)	E	DEFITHDE/DENIA L-TV			here for: Nature o		escription STATUT				
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 0 448 Education	PERSONAL INJUR' PERSONAL INJUR' 365 Personal Injury Product Liability Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending Property Damage Property Damage Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee Conditions of Confinement	X	CABOR LABOR O Fair Labor Standards Act Cabor/Management Relations Railway Labor Act Family and Medical Leave Act Cherry Labor Litigation Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION Note: In	PR 423 4	2 Appe 28 U Vitho 29 D Paten 9 D Paten 9 D Paten 9 D Vitho 20 D Vitho 3	al 28 USC 158 trawal SC 157 RTY RIGHTS rights t t - Abbreviated Drug Application	□ 375 False Cl □ 376 Qui Tan 3729(a) □ 400 State Re □ 410 Antitrus □ 430 Banks a □ 450 Commei □ 460 Deporta □ 470 Rackete Corrupt □ 480 Consum □ 490 Cable/Si □ 850 Securitic Exchan; □ 890 Other St □ 891 Agricult □ 893 Environ □ 895 Freedon Act □ 896 Arbitrat □ 899 Adminis Act/Rev	aims Act in (31 USC) apportion t t nd Bankin cre tion er Influenc Organizati er Credit at TV es/Commo ge tatutory Ac tural Acts mental Mat n of Inform strative Pro iew or App Decision tionality on	ment ng ced and ions odities/ ctions tters nation ocedure			
	moved from 3	Remanded from Appellate Court			sferred fr ther Distri		☐ 6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer	-	Multidis Litigatio Direct Fi	on -			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Title 18 LLS C. S	tute under which you ar ection 1343 use:	re filing (I	Do not cite jurisdictional s	statutes un	less di	versity):						
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.) D	EMAND \$			HECK YES only:		complain				
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DO	OCKE	T NUMBER						
DATE 03/15/2018		SIGNATURE OF ATT S/ Wilson D. Mo		OF RECORD									
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE			MAG. JUD	GE					